arXiv:math/0607611v1 [math.NT] 25 Jul 2006

ON THE ARITHMETIC OF CERTAIN MODULAR CURVES

DAEYEOL JEON AND CHANG HEON KIM

Abstract. In this work, we estimate the genus of the intermediate modular curves between $X_1(N)$ and $X_0(N)$, and determine all the trigonal ones.

0. Introduction

Let N be a positive integer and Δ a subgroup of $(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^*$ which contains ± 1. Let $X_\Delta(N)$ be the modular curve defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ associated to the congruence subgroup

$$\Gamma_\Delta(N) := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \mid a \bmod N \in \Delta, N \mid c \right\}.$$

Then all the intermediate modular curves between $X_1(N)$ and $X_0(N)$ are of the form $X_\Delta(N)$. Denote the genus of $X_\Delta(N)$ by $g_\Delta(N)$. In this paper we carry out some arithmetic of the curves $X_\Delta(N)$.

In Section 1 we prove a genus formula of the curves $X_\Delta(N)$ which was referred in the authors' previous works [J-K1, J-K2, J-K-S] without proof.

A smooth projective curve X defined over an algebraically closed field k is called *d-gonal* if it admits a map $\phi : X \to \mathbb{P}^1$ over k of degree d. For $d = 3$ we say that the curve is *trigonal*. Also, the smallest possible d is called the *gonality* of the curve and denote it by $\mathrm{Gon}(X)$.

Hasegawa and Shimura [H-S1] proved that $X_0(N)$ is trigonal if and only if it is of genus $g \leq 2$ or is not hyperelliptic of genus $g = 3, 4$. In fact the

---

Key words and phrases. genus, hyperelliptic, trigonal, modular curve.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 11G18, 11G30.

"if"-part is well-known. The modular curves $X_0(N)$ carry the action of the Atkin-Lehner involutions W_d for any $d \| N$ which denote a positive integer d dividing N with $(d, N/d) = 1$. Let $X_0^{+d}(N)$ and $X_0^*(N)$ be the quotient of $X_0(N)$ by W_d and the W_d's for all $d \| N$ respectively. In [H-S2, H-S3], they determined also the trigonal modular curves $X_0^{+d}(N)$ and $X_0^*(N)$, and found that there exist non-trivial trigonal modular curves, i.e., those of genus $g \geq 5$.

The authors and Schweizer [J-K-S] showed that there exist no non-trivial trigonal modular curves $X_1(N)$, which plays a central role in the determining the torsion structures of elliptic curves defined over cubic number fields which occur infinitely often.

In Section 3 we determine all the intermediate modular curves between $X_1(N)$ and $X_0(N)$ which are trigonal, and conclude that there exist no non-trivial trigonal curves. For the purpose, it is necessary to determine all the hyperelliptic intermediate modular curves, which was done by Ishii and Momose [I-M]. But there was a mistake, and so we complete their results in Section 2.

1. A GENUS FORMULA

Let $\Gamma(1) = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ be the full modular group. For any integer $N \geq 1$, we have subgroups $\Gamma_1(N)$ and $\Gamma_0(N)$ of $\Gamma(1)$ defined by matrices $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ congruent modulo N to $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ and $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & * \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ respectively. We let $X_1(N)$ and $X_0(N)$ be the modular curves defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ associated to $\Gamma_1(N)$ and $\Gamma_0(N)$ respectively. The X's are compact Riemann surfaces. Let $g_0(N)$ denote the genus of $X_0(N)$. For any congruence subgroup $\Gamma \subset \Gamma(1)$, we shall denote by $\overline{\Gamma}$ the image of Γ by the natural map $\Gamma(1) \rightarrow \overline{\Gamma}(1) := \Gamma(1)/\{\pm 1\}$.

For $d|N$, let π_d be the natural projection from $(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^*$ to $(\mathbb{Z}/\{d, N/d\}\mathbb{Z})^*$, where $\{d, N/d\}$ is the least common multiple of d and N/d. Then we have the following genus formula:

Theorem 1.1. *The genus of the modular curve* $X_\Delta(N)$ *is given by*

$$g_\Delta(N) = 1 + \frac{\mu}{12} - \frac{\nu_2}{4} - \frac{\nu_3}{3} - \frac{\nu_\infty}{2}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu &= N \prod_{\substack{p|N \\ prime}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right) \frac{\varphi(N)}{|\Delta|} \\
\nu_2 &= \left|\{(b \bmod N) \in \Delta \,|\, b^2 + 1 \equiv 0 \bmod N\}\right| \frac{\varphi(N)}{|\Delta|} \\
\nu_3 &= \left|\{(b \bmod N) \in \Delta \,|\, b^2 - b + 1 \equiv 0 \bmod N\}\right| \frac{\varphi(N)}{|\Delta|} \\
\nu_\infty &= \sum_{\substack{d|N \\ d>0}} \frac{\varphi(d)\varphi(\frac{N}{d})}{|\pi_d(\Delta)|}.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. We follow the notations of [O1]. One has to check that the index of $\overline{\Gamma}_\Delta(N)$ in $\overline{\Gamma}(1)$ is μ, the number of elliptic fixed points of order 2 (resp. 3) is ν_2 (resp. ν_3) and that the number of cusps is ν_∞. It is easy to show the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu = [\overline{\Gamma}(1) : \overline{\Gamma}_\Delta(N)] &= [\overline{\Gamma}(1) : \overline{\Gamma}_0(N)][\overline{\Gamma}_0(N) : \overline{\Gamma}_\Delta(N)] \\
&= N \prod_{p|N} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right) \frac{\varphi(N)}{|\Delta|}.
\end{aligned}$$

Put $L_0 = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} N & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$. Then the double coset $\Gamma(1)L_0\Gamma(1)$ has the right coset decomposition as follows:

$$\Gamma(1)L_0\Gamma(1) = \bigcup \Gamma(1)L$$

where $L = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ with $a > 0$, $ad = N$, $b \bmod d$ and $(a, b, d) = 1$.

Now we compute ν_2 and ν_3. Let A be an elliptic element in $\Gamma(1)$ and P the fixed point of A in the complex upper half plane. Then $P = MP_0$ for some $M \in \Gamma(1)$ where $P_0 = i$ or $e^{2\pi i/3}$. Write $L_0 M = BL$ for some $B \in \Gamma(1)$ and $L = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ with $a > 0$, $ad = N$ and $(a, b, d) = 1$. Now if $P_0 = i$,

$$\begin{aligned} & A = M \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right) M^{-1} \in \Gamma_0(N) = \Gamma(1) \cap L_0^{-1}\Gamma(1)L_0 \\ \iff & L \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right) L^{-1} \in \Gamma(1) \\ \iff & \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{smallmatrix}\right) \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right) \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{smallmatrix}\right)^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} b/a & -(a^2+b^2)/N \\ d/a & b/a \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma(1) \\ \iff & a = 1, d = N \text{ and } b^2 + 1 \equiv 0 \bmod N. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly if $P_0 = e^{2\pi i}/3$,

$$\begin{aligned} A \in \Gamma_0(N) \iff & \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{smallmatrix}\right) \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right) \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{smallmatrix}\right)^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} b/a & -(a^2-ab+b^2)/N \\ d/a & (a-b)/a \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma(1) \\ \iff & a = 1, d = N \text{ and } b^2 - b + 1 \equiv 0 \bmod N. \end{aligned}$$

Write $M = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} x & y \\ z & w \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ and $B = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} x' & y' \\ z' & w' \end{smallmatrix}\right)$. From $L_0 M = BL$ it follows that

$$\left(\begin{smallmatrix} Nx & Ny \\ z & w \end{smallmatrix}\right) = \begin{pmatrix} x' & bx'+Ny' \\ z' & bz'+Nw' \end{pmatrix}. \tag{1}$$

Note that $M \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right) M^{-1} = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} yw+xz & * \\ * & * \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ and $M \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right) M^{-1} = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} yw+xz-yz & * \\ * & * \end{smallmatrix}\right)$. Then for the elliptic element A of order 2 (resp. 3) to lie in $\Gamma_\Delta(N)$, we need $yw + xz \bmod N \in \Delta$ (resp. $yw + xz - yz \bmod N \in \Delta$) together with the condition $b^2 + 1 \equiv 0 \bmod N$ (resp. $b^2 - b + 1 \equiv 0 \bmod N$). From (1) it is easy to see that $yw + xz \equiv -b \bmod N$ and $yw + xz - yz \equiv -b + 1 \bmod N$. Thus if A is an elliptic element of order 2 (resp. 3) in $\overline{\Gamma}_\Delta$, then there corresponds an element $b \bmod N \in \Delta$ satisfying $b^2 + 1 \equiv 0 \bmod N$ (resp. $b^2 - b + 1 \equiv 0 \bmod N$). Conversely, we can form an elliptic element of order 2 (resp. 3) from the solution in Δ of the congruence equation $x^2 + 1 \equiv 0 \bmod N$ (resp. $x^2 - x + 1 \equiv 0 \bmod N$). We note that different solutions give $\Gamma_0(N)$-inequivalent elliptic points of order 2 (resp. 3).

Now we consider the Galois covering $p_2 : X_\Delta(N) \to X_0(N)$. If A is an elliptic element of order 2 in $\overline{\Gamma}_\Delta$ and $AP = P$, then each point in the inverse image of $\Gamma_0(N)P$ is again an elliptic point of order 2 and has ramification index 1. Thus the number of elements in $p_2^{-1}(\Gamma_0(N)P)$ would become the degree of p_2, and hence we have the following:

$$\begin{aligned}\nu_2 &= \big|\{(b \bmod N) \in \Delta \,|\, b^2+1 \equiv 0 \bmod N\}\big| \cdot \text{degree of } p_2\\ &= \big|\{(b \bmod N) \in \Delta \,|\, b^2+1 \equiv 0 \bmod N\}\big| \,\frac{\varphi(N)}{|\Delta|}.\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $\nu_3 = |\{(b \bmod N) \in \Delta \,|\, b^2 - b + 1 \equiv 0 \bmod N\}| \,\frac{\varphi(N)}{|\Delta|}$.

Finally we compute ν_∞. We follow the notations of [O2]. Let $p_1 : X_1(N) \to X_\Delta(N)$, $p_2 : X_\Delta(N) \to X_0(N)$ be the Galois coverings and $p = p_2 \circ p_1$. Denote by $s = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} x \\ y \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ a cusp in $X_1(N)$. Then the following holds:

$$e_p(s) = e_{p_1}(s) e_{p_2}(p_1 s) \text{ and } e_p(s) = (N/d, d) \text{ with } d = (y, N)$$

where e's denote ramification indices ([O2], Proposition 2). Now we claim that

$$e_{p_1}(s) = |\Delta|/|\pi_d(\Delta)|.$$

Note that the group $G = \Gamma_\Delta(N)/\pm\Gamma_1(N)$ is isomorphic to $\Delta/\pm 1$. Each element $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}\right) \in \Gamma_\Delta(N)$ acts on $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} x \\ y \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ as $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} ax \\ a^{-1}y \end{smallmatrix}\right)$. Then $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} x \\ y \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ and $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} ax \\ a^{-1}y \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ represent the same cusp on $X_1(N)$ if and only if $ax \equiv \pm x \bmod d$ and $ay \equiv \pm y \bmod N$, i.e., $a \equiv \pm 1 \bmod d$ and $\frac{N}{d}$.

Let $\{d, \frac{N}{d}\}$ denote the least common multiple of d and $\frac{N}{d}$. Let $H = \big\{a \bmod N \in \Delta/\pm 1 \,|\, a \equiv 1 \bmod \{d, \frac{N}{d}\}\big\}$. Since H is the kernel of the natural map $\Delta/\pm 1 \to (\mathbb{Z}/\{d, \frac{N}{d}\})^*/\pm 1$, the number of H is equal to $|\Delta|/|\pi_d(\Delta)|$. We can view H as a subgroup of G. Then G/H has the same cardinality as the set of orbits Gs. Since the elements of Gs are the cusps in $X_1(N)$ lying over the cusp $p_1(s)$ in $X_\Delta(N)$, the ramification index of s in $X_1(N)$ is equal to the cardinality of H. By the claim we come up with

$$\begin{aligned}\nu_\infty &= \sum_{\substack{d|N\\ d>0}} \frac{\deg p_2}{e_{p_2}}\varphi((d,N/d)) \quad \text{since } p_2 \text{ is a Galois covering}\\ &= \sum_{\substack{d|N\\ d>0}} \frac{\varphi(N)}{|\Delta|}\frac{|\Delta|}{|\pi_d(\Delta)|}\frac{1}{(N/d,d)}\varphi((d,N/d))\\ &= \sum_{\substack{d|N\\ d>0}} \varphi(d)\varphi(N/d)/|\pi_d(\Delta)|.\end{aligned}$$

The last equality can be shown by using the fact that

$$\varphi(n_1)\varphi(n_2) = \varphi(n_1n_2)\frac{\varphi((n_1,n_2))}{(n_1,n_2)}.$$

□

2. HYPERELLIPTIC MODULAR CURVES

If a curve X is 2-gonal, we call it *sub-hyperelliptic*. Also if X is sub-hyperelliptic of genus $g \geq 2$, then it is called *hyperelliptic*.

Proposition 2.1. [Ne, N-S] *Let X_1 and X_2 be smooth projective curves over an algebraically closed field k, and assume that there is a finite morphism $X_1 \to X_2$ over k. If X_1 is d-gonal, so is X_2.*

The best general lower bound for the gonality of a modular curve seems to be the one that is obtained in the following way.

Let λ_1 be the smallest positive eigenvalue of the Laplacian operator on the Hilbert space $L^2(X_\Gamma)$ where X_Γ is the modular curve corresponding to a congruence subgroup Γ of $\Gamma(1)$ and D_Γ be the index of $\overline{\Gamma}$ in $\overline{\Gamma}(1)$. Abramovich [A] shows the following inequality:

$$\lambda_1 D_\Gamma \leq 24\,\mathrm{Gon}(X_\Gamma).$$

Using the best known lower bound for λ_1, due to Henry Kim and Peter Sarnak, as reported on page 18 of [B-G-G-P], i.e., $\lambda_1 > 0.238$, we get the following result.

Theorem 2.2. *Let X_Γ be the modular curve corresponding to a congruence subgroup Γ of index $D_\Gamma := [\overline{\Gamma}(1) : \overline{\Gamma}]$. Then*

$$D_\Gamma < \frac{12000}{119}\,\mathrm{Gon}(X_\Gamma).$$

In the following, we call the inequality in Theorem 2.2 Abramovich's bound.

Ishii and Momose [I-M] asserted that there exist no hyperelliptic modular curves $X_\Delta(N)$ with $\{\pm 1\} \subsetneq \Delta \subsetneq (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^*$. But we get the following result.

Theorem 2.3. *There exists one and only hyperelliptic modular curve of the form $X_\Delta(N)$ with $\{\pm 1\} \subsetneq \Delta \subsetneq (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^*$, i.e., $X_{\Delta_1}(21)$ where Δ_1 is in Table 1.*

Remark 2.4. In [I-M] there was a mistake to treat Atkin-Lehner involutions on $X_\Delta(N)$. The Atkin-Lehner involutions define a unique involution on $X_0(N)$ but it doesn't hold for $X_\Delta(N)$.

From now on we prove Theorem 2.3. To this end we need some preparations.

Let X be a smooth projective curve of genus $g \geq 2$ and $\Omega^1(X)$ the space of holomorphic differential forms on X. Then $\Omega^1(X)$ gives rise to a line bundle, and this is called the *canonical bundle.* This line bundle, in certain situations, gives an embedding into projective space.

Let $\omega_1 \ldots, \omega_g$ be a basis for $\Omega^1(X)$. Viewing X as a Riemann surface, we may choose a finite covering of open sets, with local parameters z on each set, such that we can locally write $\omega_i = f_i(z)dz$. Then we get the following well-defined map

$$\begin{array}{rccl} \phi: & X & \to & \mathbb{P}^{g-1} \\ & P & \mapsto & (\omega_1(P):\cdots:\omega_g(P)). \end{array}$$

Note that $(\omega_1(P):\cdots:\omega_g(P)) = (f_1(P):\cdots:f_g(P))$. The above map is called a *canonical map.* Let $\overline{X}$ denote the image of X by the canonical map. It is well-known that if X is not hyperelliptic then the canonical map is injective.

If one takes the canonical map of a hyperelliptic curve then it is possible to predict what will happen. Suppose X is a hyperelliptic curve of genus $g \geq 3$. Then the image $\overline{X}$ under the canonical map will be a smooth curve which is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^1$ and which is described by $(g-1)(g-2)/2$ quadratic equations (See §2 of [Ga]).

Therefore it is possible to distinguish between hyperelliptic curves and non-hyperelliptic ones by examining their images under the canonical map.

Now we consider the modular curves $X_\Delta(N)$ of genus $g = g_\Delta(N) \geq 3$. Let $S^2_\Delta(N)$ denote the space of cusp forms of weight 2. Suppose $\{f_1, \ldots, f_g\}$ is a basis of $S^2_\Delta(N)$. Then the canonical map may be written as

$$X_\Delta(N) \ni P \mapsto (f_1(P):\cdots:f_g(P)) \in \mathbb{P}^{g-1}.$$

One can get such a basis and their Fourier coefficients from [St]. Then to obtain a system of quadratic generators of $I(\overline{X_\Delta(N)})$, we have only to compute the relations of the f_if_j $(1 \leq i, j \leq g)$. If $X_\Delta(N)$ is not hyperelliptic, then there exist exactly $(g-2)(g-3)/2$ linear relations among the f_if_j (See §2 of [H-S1]).

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 2.3. By Proposition 2.1 it suffices to consider $X_\Delta(N)$ when $X_0(N)$ are sub-hyperelliptic. If the genus $g_0(N) \leq 2$, then one can find all $X_\Delta(N)$ for such N in Table 1. Also the other cases can be found in Table 2.

First applying Abramovich' bound we get the following result:

Lemma 2.5. *The modular curves* $X_{\Delta_i^\dagger}$ *and* $X_{\Delta_i^\ddagger}$ *in Table 1,2 are not hyperelliptic.*

Remark 2.6. The notations ${\Delta_i}^\dagger$ and ${\Delta_i}^\ddagger$ in the tables mean that Abramovich's bound doesn't hold for $X_{{\Delta_i}^\dagger}(N)$ and $X_{{\Delta_i}^\ddagger}(N)$ when $\mathrm{Gon}(X_{\Gamma_{{\Delta_i}^\dagger}(N)}) \leq 2$ and $\mathrm{Gon}(X_{\Gamma_{{\Delta_i}^\ddagger}(N)}) \leq 3$ respectively.

Now we prove that $X_{\Delta_1}(21)$ is a hyperelliptic curve in two different ways.

Proof 1. The space $S^2_{\Delta_1}(21)$ is of dimension 3 and from [St] we can get a basis consisting three newforms. We write down the basis as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} f_1 &= q - q^2 + q^3 - q^4 - 2q^5 - q^6 - q^7 + 3q^8 + q^9 + 2q^{10} + \cdots \\ f_2 &= q - q^3 - 2q^4 + 2q^6 - 2q^7 + 4q^{10} + 2q^{11} + q^{13} - 2q^{14} - \cdots \\ f_3 &= 2q^2 - q^3 - 2q^4 - 2q^5 + q^7 + q^9 + 4q^{10} + 2q^{11} + q^{13} - \cdots . \end{aligned}$$

By using the computer algebra system MAPLE we get a quadratic generator of the ideal $I(\overline{X_{\Delta_1}(21)})$ as follows:

$$Q \,:\, x_1^2 - x_2^2 - x_3^2 + x_2 x_3$$

where we obtain the relation $Q(f_1, f_2, f_3) = 0$ by assigning x_i to f_i. But it means that $X_{\Delta_1}(21)$ is hyperelliptic by the above criterion. □

Proof 2. The authors [J-K1] proved that $X_1(21)$ is bielliptic, i.e., it admits a map of degree 2 to an elliptic curve, and all the bielliptic involutions on $X_1(21)$ are $W_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 9 & -4 \\ 21 & -9 \end{pmatrix}$ and $[8]W_3$ where $[a]$ denote the automorphism of $X_1(N)$ represented by $\gamma \in \Gamma_0(N)$ such that $\gamma \equiv \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & * \\ 0 & * \end{smallmatrix}\right) \bmod N$. Note that for bielliptic curves of genus 5 all bielliptic involutions commute with each other [Sch, Lemma 4.4]. Let G be the group generated by the two bielliptic involutions of $X_1(21)$. Then we can determine the genus of the quotient $G\backslash X_1(21)$ by the four-group rule [F] as follows:

$$g(X_1(21)) = g(W_3\backslash X_1(21)) + g([8]W_3\backslash X_1(21)) + g([8]\backslash X_1(21)) - 2g(G\backslash X_1(21)).$$

Thus $G\backslash X_1(21)$ is rational, and hence we get a Galois covering $X_1(21) \to \mathbb{P}^1$ with Galois group G. Since $[8]\backslash X_1(21)$ is the same as $X_{\Delta_1}(21)$, we can conclude that $X_{\Delta_1}(21)$ is hyperelliptic. $\square$

To determine all the other curves $X_\Delta(N)$ to be not hyperelliptic it suffices to consider $X_\Delta(N)$ for the maximal subgroups Δ. For example, the modular curve $X_{\Delta_1}(30)$ is of genus 5 and has a basis of $S^2_{\Delta_1}(30)$ which consists of two old forms and three new forms as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
f_1 &= q - q^2 - q^3 - q^4 + q^5 + q^6 + 3q^8 + q^9 - q^{10} - \cdots \\
f_2 &= q^2 - q^4 - q^6 - q^8 + q^{10} + q^{12} + 3q^{16} + q^{18} - q^{20} - \cdots \\
f_3 &= q - q^2 + q^3 + q^4 - q^5 - q^6 - 4q^7 - q^8 + q^9 + q^{10} + \cdots \\
f_4 &= q - q^4 - 2q^5 + q^6 - q^9 - q^{10} + 2q^{11} + 2q^{14} + q^{15} + \cdots \\
f_5 &= q^2 - q^3 + q^5 - 2q^7 - q^8 - 2q^{10} + q^{12} + 6q^{13} + 2q^{15} - \cdots .
\end{aligned}$$

By using MAPLE we get three quadratic generators of $I(\overline{X_{\Delta_1}(30)})$ as follows:

$$\begin{cases}
x_4^2 - x_5^2 - x_1x_3 + 2x_2x_3 - 4x_4x_5, \\
x_3^2 - 2x_5^2 + 2x_1x_2 - x_1x_3 + 2x_2x_3 - 4x_4x_5, \\
x_1^2 + 4x_2^2 - 2x_5^2 + 2x_1x_2 - x_1x_3 + 2x_2x_3 - 4x_4x_5.
\end{cases}$$

This means that $X_{\Delta_1}(30)$ is not hyperelliptic. Case by case we calculate the quadratic generators of $I(\overline{X_\Delta(N)})$ for maximal subgroups Δ in Table 1,2 and hence we can finish the proof of Theorem 2.3.

3. Trigonal modular curves

In this section we determine all trigonal modular curves $X_\Delta(N)$. Combining Theorem 2.3 with Proposition 2.1 it suffices to consider the modular curves $X_\Delta(N)$ of $g_\Delta(N) \geq 5$ in the Table 1,3 which contain all the intermediate modular curves between $X_1(N)$ and $X_0(N)$ such that $X_0(N)$ is trigonal.

Applying Abramovich's bound we get the following result.

Lemma 3.1. *All the modular curves* $X_{\Delta_i^{\ddagger}}(N)$ *in Table 1,3 are not trigonal.*

We make use of the method due to Hasegawa and Shimura [H-S1].

Theorem 3.2. (Petri's Theorem) *Let* X *be a canonical curve of genus* $g \geq 4$ *defined over an algebraically closed field. Then the ideal* $I(X)$ *of* X *is generated by some quadratic polynomials, unless* X *is trigonal or isomorphic to a smooth plane quintic curve, in which cases it is generated by some quadratic and (at least one) cubic polynomials.*

Let $X_\Delta(N)$ be of genus $g_\Delta(N) \geq 5$ and $\{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_g\}$ a basis of $S^2_\Delta(N)$. Then to obtain a minimal generating system of the ideal $I(\overline{X_\Delta(N)})$, we have only to compute the relations of the $f_i f_j$ and the $f_i f_j f_k (1 \leq i, j, k \leq g)$, and to eliminate those cubic relations arising from quadratic relations. By Petri's Theorem $X_\Delta(N)$ is trigonal if and only if it is not isomorphic to a smooth plane quintic curve and a minimal generating system of $I(\overline{X_\Delta(N)})$ contains a cubic polynomial. Let $Q_1, \dots, Q_{(g-2)(g-3)/2}$ be a system of quadratic generators of $I(\overline{X_\Delta(N)})$. Since there are $(g-3)(g^2+6g-10)/6$ linear relations among the $f_i f_j f_k$, the number of cubic generators among the minimal generating system is given by

$$\frac{(g-3)(g^2+6g-10)}{6} - \dim L'$$

where L' is the subspace generated by x_iQ_j $(1 \leq i \leq g;\ 1 \leq j \leq (g-2)(g-3)/2)$. Thus $X_\Delta(N)$ is trigonal only if the above difference is non-zero.

Example 3.3. The curve $X_{\Delta_1}(32)$ is of genus 5 and not hyperelliptic. By the exact same method as in the computation of $X_{\Delta_1}(30)$ (See §2) we get three quadratic generators of $I(\overline{X_{\Delta_1}(32)})$ as follows:

$$\begin{cases} x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + 8x_5^2 + 2x_2x_3 + 4x_2x_4 - 4x_2x_5 - 8x_4x_5 \\ -x_2x_3 - x_2x_4 - x_2x_5 - x_3x_4 + x_3x_5 \\ x_4^2 - x_5^2 + x_2x_5 + x_3x_4 + 2x_4x_5. \end{cases}$$

By a simple calculation we find that the dimension of L' is exactly 15, it follows that there are no essential cubic generators. Therefore $X_{\Delta_1}(30)$ is not trigonal.

Following the same method as in the above example we calculate the remaining cases to get the following result.

Theorem 3.4. *The modular curve $X_\Delta(N)$ is trigonal if and only if it is of genus $g_\Delta(N) \leq 2$ or not hyperelliptic of $g_\Delta(N) = 3, 4$. Equivalently all the curves $X_\Delta(N)$ of genus $g_\Delta(N) \leq 4$ in Table 1 except $X_{\Delta_1}(21)$.*

Acknowledgment

We thank to Andreas Schweizer for suggesting the second proof of Theorem 2.3.

References

[A] D. Abramovich, *A linear lower bound on the gonality of modular curves,* Int. Math. Res. Not. **1996**, no. 20, 1005-1011.

[B-G-G-P] M. H. Baker, E. González-Jiménez, J. González and B. Poonen, *Finiteness results for modular curves of genus at least* 2, to appear in Amer. J. Math.

[F] C. R. Ferenbaugh, *The genus-zero problem for* $n|h$*-type groups,* Duke Math. J. **72** (1993), 31–63.

[Ga] S. D. Galbraith, *Equations for modular curves,* D. Phil. Thesis, Oxford 1996.

[H-S1] Y. Hasegawa and M. Shimura, *Trigonal modular curves,* Acta Arith. **88** (1999), 129–140.

[H-S2] Y. Hasegawa and M. Shimura, *Trigonal modular curves* ${X_0}^{+d}(N)$*,* Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci. **75** (1999), no. 9, 129–140.

[H-S3] Y. Hasegawa and M. Shimura, *Trigonal modular curves* ${X_0}^{*}(N)$*,* Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci. **76** (2000), no. 6, 129–140.

[I-M] N. Ishii and F. Momose, *Hyperelliptic modular curves.* Tsukuba J. Math. **15** (1991) 413–423.

[J-K1] D. Jeon and C. H. Kim, *Bielliptic modular curves* $X_1(N)$*,* Acta Arith. **112** (2004), 75–86.

[J-K2] D. Jeon and C. H. Kim, *Bielliptic modular curves* $X_1(M, N)$*,* to appear in Manucripta Math.

[J-K-S] D. Jeon, C. H. Kim and A. Schweizer, *On the torsion of elliptic curves over cubic number fields,* Acta Arith. **113** (2004), 291–301.

[Ne] M. Newman, *Conjugacy, genus and class number,* Math. Ann. **196** (1972), 198-217.

[N-S] K. V. Nguyen and M.-H. Saito, *d-gonality of modular curves and bounding torsions,* e-print arXiv: math.AG/9603024, preprint.

[O1] A. Ogg, *Modular forms and Dirichlet series*, Benjamin, 1969.

[O2] A. Ogg, *Rational points on certain elliptic modular curves,* Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. **24** (1973), 221-231.

[Sch] A. Schweizer, *Bielliptic Drinfeld modular curves,* Asian J. Math. **5** (2001), 705-720.

[St] W. A. Stein, *http://modular.fas.harvard.edu.*

APPENDIX

Table 1: List of $X_\Delta(N)$ and their genera $g_\Delta(N)$ when $X_0(N)$ are of genus $g_0(N) \leq 2$.

N	$\{\pm 1\} \subsetneq \Delta \subsetneq (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^*$	$g_\Delta(N)$
$1 \leq N \leq 12$	–	–
13	$\Delta_1 = \{\pm 1, \pm 5\}$	0
13	$\Delta_2 = \{\pm 1, \pm 3, \pm 4\}$	0
14	–	–
15	$\Delta_1 = \{\pm 1, \pm 4\}$	1
16	$\Delta_1 = \{\pm 1, \pm 7\}$	0
17	$\Delta_1 = \{\pm 1, \pm 4\}$	1
17	$\Delta_2 = \{\pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 4, \pm 8\}$	1
18	–	–
19	$\Delta_1 = \{\pm 1, \pm 7, \pm 8\}$	1
20	$\Delta_1 = \{\pm 1, \pm 9\}$	1
21	$\Delta_1 = \{\pm 1, \pm 8\}$	3
21	$\Delta_2 = \{\pm 1, \pm 4, \pm 5\}$	1
22	–	–
23	–	–
24	$\Delta_1 = \{\pm 1, \pm 5\}$	3
24	$\Delta_2 = \{\pm 1, \pm 7\}$	3
24	$\Delta_3 = \{\pm 1, \pm 11\}$	1
25	$\Delta_1 = \{\pm 1, \pm 7\}$	4
25	$\Delta_2 = \{\pm 1, \pm 4, \pm 6, \pm 9, \pm 11\}$	0
26	$\Delta_1 = \{\pm 1, \pm 5\}$	4
26	$\Delta_2 = \{\pm 1, \pm 3, \pm 9\}$	4

27	$\Delta_1 = \{\pm 1, \pm 8, \pm 10\}$	1
28	$\Delta_1 = \{\pm 1, \pm 13\}$	4
28	$\Delta_2 = \{\pm 1, \pm 3, \pm 9\}$	4
29	$\Delta_1^\dagger = \{\pm 1, \pm 12\}$	8
29	$\Delta_2 = \{\pm 1, \pm 4, \pm 5, \pm 6, \pm 7, \pm 9, \pm 13\}$	4
31	$\Delta_1 = \{\pm 1, \pm 5, \pm 6\}$	6
31	$\Delta_2 = \{\pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 4, \pm 8, \pm 15\}$	6
32	$\Delta_1 = \{\pm 1, \pm 15\}$	5
32	$\Delta_2 = \{\pm 1, \pm 7, \pm 9, \pm 15\}$	1
36	$\Delta_1^\dagger = \{\pm 1, \pm 17\}$	7
36	$\Delta_2 = \{\pm 1, \pm 11, \pm 13\}$	3
37	$\Delta_1^\ddagger = \{\pm 1, \pm 6\}$	16
37	$\Delta_2^\dagger = \{\pm 1, \pm 10, \pm 11\}$	10
37	$\Delta_3 = \{\pm 1, \pm 6, \pm 8, \pm 10, \pm 11, \pm 14\}$	4
37	$\Delta_4 = \{\pm 1, \pm 3, \pm 4, \pm 7, \pm 9, \pm 10, \pm 11, \pm 12, \pm 16\}$	4
49	$\Delta_1^\ddagger = \{\pm 1, \pm 18, \pm 19\}$	19
49	$\Delta_2 = \{\pm 1, \pm 6, \pm 8, \pm 13, \pm 15, \pm 20, \pm 22\}$	3
50	$\Delta_1^\ddagger = \{\pm 1, \pm 7\}$	22
50	$\Delta_2 = \{\pm 1, \pm 9, \pm 11, \pm 19, \pm 21\}$	4

Table 2: List of $X_\Delta(N)$ and their genera $g_\Delta(N)$ when $X_0(N)$ are hyperelliptic and $g_0(N) > 2$.

N	$\{\pm 1\} \subsetneq \Delta \subsetneq (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^*$	$g_\Delta(N)$
30	$\Delta_1 = \{\pm 1, \pm 11\}$	5

33	$\Delta_1^\dagger = \{\pm1, \pm10\}$	11
33	$\Delta_2 = \{\pm1, \pm2, \pm4, \pm8, \pm16\}$	5
35	$\Delta_1^\dagger = \{\pm1, \pm6\}$	13
35	$\Delta_2 = \{\pm1, \pm11, \pm16\}$	9
35	$\Delta_3 = \{\pm1, \pm6, \pm8, \pm13\}$	7
35	$\Delta_4 = \{\pm1, \pm4, \pm6, \pm9, \pm11, \pm16\}$	5
39	$\Delta_1^\dagger = \{\pm1, \pm14\}$	17
39	$\Delta_2^\dagger = \{\pm1, \pm16, \pm17\}$	9
39	$\Delta_3 = \{\pm1, \pm5, \pm8, \pm14\}$	9
39	$\Delta_4 = \{\pm1, \pm4, \pm10, \pm14, \pm16, \pm17\}$	5
40	$\Delta_1^\dagger = \{\pm1, \pm31\}$	9
40	$\Delta_2^\dagger = \{\pm1, \pm9\}$	13
40	$\Delta_3^\dagger = \{\pm1, \pm11\}$	13
40	$\Delta_4 = \{\pm1, \pm9, \pm11, \pm19\}$	5
40	$\Delta_5 = \{\pm1, \pm3, \pm9, \pm13\}$	7
40	$\Delta_6 = \{\pm1, \pm7, \pm9, \pm17\}$	7
41	$\Delta_1^\dagger = \{\pm1, \pm9\}$	21
41	$\Delta_2^\dagger = \{\pm1, \pm3, \pm9, \pm14\}$	11
41	$\Delta_3 = \{\pm1, \pm4, \pm10, \pm16, \pm18\}$	11
41	$\Delta_4 = \{\pm1, \pm2, \pm4, \pm5, \pm8, \pm9, \pm10, \pm16, \pm18, \pm20\}$	5
46	–	–
47	–	–
48	$\Delta_1^\dagger = \{\pm1, \pm7\}$	19
48	$\Delta_2^\dagger = \{\pm1, \pm17\}$	19
48	$\Delta_3^\dagger = \{\pm1, \pm23\}$	19
48	$\Delta_4 = \{\pm1, \pm11, \pm13, \pm23\}$	5

48	$\Delta_5 = \{\pm 1, \pm 7, \pm 17, \pm 23\}$	7
48	$\Delta_6 = \{\pm 1, \pm 5, \pm 19, \pm 23\}$	7
59	–	–
71	$\Delta_1^{\dagger} = \{\pm 1, \pm 5, \pm 14, \pm 17, \pm 25\}$	36
71	$\Delta_2^{\dagger} = \{\pm 1, \pm 20, \pm 23, \pm 26, \pm 30, \pm 32, \pm 34\}$	26

Table 3: List of $X_\Delta(N)$ and their genera $g_\Delta(N)$ when $X_0(N)$ are trigonal but not sub-hyperelliptic.

N	$\{\pm 1\} \subsetneq \Delta \subsetneq (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^*$	$g_\Delta(N)$
34	$\Delta_1 = \{\pm 1, \pm 13\}$	9
34	$\Delta_2 = \{\pm 1, \pm 9, \pm 13, \pm 15\}$	5
38	$\Delta_1 = \{\pm 1, \pm 7, \pm 11\}$	10
43	$\Delta_1^{\ddagger} = \{\pm 1, \pm 6, \pm 7\}$	15
43	$\Delta_2 = \{\pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 4, \pm 8, \pm 11, \pm 16, \pm 21, \pm 22\}$	9
44	$\Delta_1^{\ddagger} = \{\pm 1, \pm 21\}$	16
44	$\Delta_2 = \{\pm 1, \pm 5, \pm 7, \pm 9, \pm 19\}$	8
45	$\Delta_1^{\ddagger} = \{\pm 1, \pm 19\}$	21
45	$\Delta_2 = \{\pm 1, \pm 14, \pm 16\}$	9
45	$\Delta_3 = \{\pm 1, \pm 8, \pm 17, \pm 19\}$	11
45	$\Delta_4 = \{\pm 1, \pm 4, \pm 11, \pm 14, \pm 16, \pm 19\}$	5
53	$\Delta_1^{\ddagger} = \{\pm 1, \pm 23\}$	40
53	$\Delta_2 = \{\pm 1, \pm 4, \pm 6, \pm 7, \pm 9, \pm 10, \pm 11, \pm 13, \pm 15, \pm 16, \pm 17, \pm 24, \pm 25\}$	8
54	$\Delta_1^{\ddagger} = \{\pm 1, \pm 17, \pm 19\}$	10
61	$\Delta_1^{\ddagger} = \{\pm 1, \pm 11\}$	56

61	$\Delta_2^{\ddagger} = \{\pm 1, \pm 13, \pm 14\}$	36
61	$\Delta_3^{\ddagger} = \{\pm 1, \pm 3, \pm 9, \pm 20, \pm 27\}$	26
61	$\Delta_4^{\ddagger} = \{\pm 1, \pm 11, \pm 13, \pm 14, \pm 21, \pm 29\}$	16
61	$\Delta_5 = \{\pm 1, \pm 3, \pm 8, \pm 9, \pm 11, \pm 20, \pm 23, \pm 24, \pm 27, \pm 28\}$	12
64	$\Delta_1^{\ddagger} = \{\pm 1, \pm 31\}$	37
64	$\Delta_2^{\ddagger} = \{\pm 1, \pm 15, \pm 17, \pm 31\}$	13
64	$\Delta_3 = \{\pm 1, \pm 7, \pm 9, \pm 15, \pm 17, \pm 23, \pm 25, \pm 31\}$	5
81	$\Delta_1^{\ddagger} = \{\pm 1, \pm 26, \pm 28\}$	46
81	$\Delta_2^{\ddagger} = \{\pm 1, \pm 8, \pm 10, \pm 17, \pm 19, \pm 26, \pm 28, \pm 35, \pm 37\}$	10

DAEYEOL JEON, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS EDUCATION, KONGJU NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, 182 SHINKWAN-DONG, KONGJU, CHUNGNAM, 314-701 KOREA

E-mail address: `dyjeon@kongju.ac.kr`

CHANG HEON KIM, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SEOUL WOMEN'S UNIVERSITY, 126 KONGNUNG 2-DONG, NOWON-GU, SEOUL, 139-774 KOREA

E-mail address: `chkim@swu.ac.kr`